

The Copse,

Hinksey Hill,

Oxford.

Sept. 2/36.

My dear Steinidoff.

I have been down staying with the Jardines near Wychester & am just back after a delightful week playing tennis, swimming & all these kind of things that a man in the even of 71 ought not to do.

Now I am back & have found your letter. I am afraid I cannot be at all helpful. It is true I have 4 or five examples of Daressey No 293, a rather bad. My copy yielded

--	--	--	--

The " is doubtful and if the pyramidion yielded that would no doubt be the reading. My records state that

one of the examples was found by  
our Expedition in digging near Tomb  
No 47 in the Khutcha but it may  
very well have been dropped there  
by a boy, and not have the slightest  
Evidential value.

The peculiar character of the coin  
with its title of offering has few  
parallels and I can draw no  
evidence of date from that. But  
from the good style I should imagine  
that it is likely to be mid-eighteenth.  
I seem to remember that Reines has  
proved that  $\text{ᠮᠤᠨᠤ}$  is the early form  
of the title of the viceroy of Kurkh & that  
 $\text{ᠮᠤᠨᠤ}$  is later. But I forget the  
terminus. You will no doubt remember.

It is curious that you should only  
find one example of this coin which  
was probably made locally for the  
Custapha and a row of which would

probably he set below the pyramid  
(as in Tomb 49<sup>g</sup> and elsewhere).  
They are almost indestructible and  
would not command much of a  
sale in Aniba.

The pyramid-form of the Tomb is  
interesting too, as I do not remember  
any proof of this for the 18<sup>th</sup> Dynasty  
in Thebes except Tomb 6 which I  
can scarcely believe to be of the  
time of Amenhotep II, but rather  
of Amenhotep III or later still.

I am now tackling the plates of  
Hibis temple - an enormous task. I  
am not sure but that it is as big  
a job as Medinet Habu. There are at  
any rate over 400 photos of separate  
scenes.

Yours very sincerely

R. de J. Davies